Human and mouse granzyme M display divergent and species-specific substrate specificities
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Abstract
Cytotoxic lymphocyte protease granzyme M (GrM) is a potent inducer of tumor cell death and a key regulator of inflammation. Although human GrM (hGrM) and mouse GrM (mGrM) display extensive sequence homology, the substrate specificity of mGrM remains unknown. Here, we show that hGrM and mGrM have diverged during evolution. Positional scanning libraries of tetrapeptide substrates revealed that mGrM preferred to cleave after a Met residue, whereas hGrM clearly favors a Leu at the P1 position. The kinetic optimal nonprime subsites of both granzymes were also distinct. Gel-based and complementary positional proteomics showed that hGrM and mGrM have a partially overlapping set of natural substrates and a diverged prime and nonprime consensus cleavage motif with Leu and Met being major P1 determinants. Consistent with positional scanning libraries of tetrapeptide substrates, P1 Met was more frequently used by mGrM as compared with hGrM. Both hGrM and mGrM cleaved α-tubulin with similar kinetics. Strikingly, neither hGrM nor mGrM hydrolyzed mouse nucleophosmin, whereas human nucleophosmin was hydrolyzed efficiently by GrM from both species. Replacement of the putative P1’-P2’ residues in mouse nucleophosmin with the corresponding residues of human nucleophosmin restored cleavage of mouse nucleophosmin by both granzymes. This further demonstrates the importance of prime sites as structural determinants for GrM substrate specificity. GrM from both species efficiently triggered apoptosis in human but not in mouse tumor cells. These data indicate that hGrM and mGrM not only exhibit divergent specificities, but also trigger species-specific functions.
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Introduction

The first line of defense against tumor and virus-infected cells is formed by cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells [1, 2]. Upon recognition of a target cell, these cytotoxic lymphocytes can initiate target cell death via either the death receptor [3] or the granule exocytosis pathway [4]. In the granule-exocytosis pathway, cytotoxic lymphocytes secrete a family of granule-associated serine proteases known as granzymes, and the pore-forming protein perforin [5]. Perforin facilitates the entry of granzymes into the target cell, enabling granzymes to induce cell death by cleaving intracellular substrates. In humans, five granzymes have been identified (GrA, GrB, GrH, GrK, and GrM) [6-8]. The mechanisms via which GrA and GrB induce cytolysis have been studied extensively, whereas far less is known about the other human granzymes [9]. Recently, granzymes have also been postulated to play key roles in regulating inflammation [10, 11].

Human granzyme M (hGrM) [12] is unique in that it preferably cleaves after a Leu residue [13, 14] and is highly expressed in NK cells and to a lesser extent in CD8+ T cells [15, 16]. hGrM is a potent and efficient inducer of tumor cell death in vitro and in vivo [17, 18]. This cell death is characterized by rapid cell swelling, formation of large cytoplasmic vacuoles, chromatin condensation with only slight segmentation of the nuclei, and finally lysis of the cells [17]. The molecular mechanisms by which hGrM initiates cell death remain controversial in literature.

hGrM has been demonstrated to trigger cell death in a caspase-independent fashion, with no fragmentation of DNA, no formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and no perturbation of mitochondria [17, 19, 20]. In these studies, hGrM has been demonstrated to cleave the microtubule network component a-tubulin, leading to disorganization of the microtubule network [19], and nucleophosmin/B23 (NPM), a multifunctional phosphoprotein essential for cell survival [20]. In contrast, Fan and colleagues have reported that hGrM promotes cell death in a manner similar to GrB, including caspase-3 activation, DNA fragmentation, generation of ROS, and cytochrome c release from the mitochondria, through cleavage of heat shock protein (HSP)-75, ICAD, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), and survivin [21-23].

While there are five granzymes in humans, mice express at least ten granzymes (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, K, M and N) [7]. hGrM has an ortholog in mice and mouse GrM (mGrM) functions in vivo have been studied in a mGrM knockout mouse model [10, 18, 24]. Like hGrM, mGrM has been implicated to participate in tumor clearance [18]. More recently mGrM has also been proposed to play a key role in the regulation of inflammation in vivo via yet to be established mechanisms [10]. Although hGrM and mGrM display extensive sequence homology, very little is known about the specificity of the mGrM protease. In the present study, we show that hGrM and mGrM exhibit divergent and species-specific substrate specificities. hGrM and mGrM display distinct P1 and subsite preferences, have narrow macromolecular substrate specificities that overlap only partially, cleave human but not mouse NPM, and trigger apoptosis in certain human but not mouse tumor cell lines. This stresses that caution is needed when using mouse models to elucidate GrM functions in humans.
Experimental Procedures

**Cell lines, Antibodies, and Reagents** - Human HeLa and murine C2C12 cells were grown in DMEM medium, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). For the N- and C-terminal combined fractional diagonal chromatography (COFRADIC) analyses, human K-562 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 glutamax medium, supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin containing either 57.5 µM natural, 13C6 or 13C615N4 L-arginine (Cambridge Isotope Labs). Cells were passaged for at least six population doublings for complete incorporation of the labeled arginine. Cell-free protein extracts were generated from exponentially growing HeLa, C2C12 and K-562 cells. Cells (10^6 cells/ml) were washed twice in a buffer containing either 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and 150 mM NaCl (HeLa and C2C12 cells) or 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 100 mM NaCl (K-562 cells), and lysed in the same buffer by three cycles of freeze-thawing. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 g at 4°C and cell-free protein extracts were stored at -80°C. Protein concentration was quantified by the Bradford method. Antibodies used were anti-α-tubulin clone B-5-1-2 (Sigma), anti-β-tubulin clone TUB 2.1 (Sigma), and anti-nucleophosmin clone FC-61991 (Invitrogen). SLO was purchased from Aalto Bio Reagents. Homology modeling was performed using SWISS-MODEL [25].

**Recombinant proteins** - The cDNA encoding mature mGrM (residues Ile27-Val264) was amplified from mouse thymus cDNA (MD-702, Zyagen) using the oligonucleotides 5'-CCGCCTCGAGAAAACTATCGGGGTGACAG-3' and 5'-TAAGGCGCGCCTTAGACCAAGATTGGGG-3', and cloned into yeast expression vector pPIC9 (Invitrogen). Catalytically inactive mouse GrM-SA, in which the Ser196 residue in the catalytic center is replaced by Ala, was generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene). Plasmids were transformed into the GS115 (his4) strain of *Pichia pastoris*. hGrB, hGrM and mGrM and the catalytically inactive GrM-SA mutants, were produced in *Pichia pastoris* and purified using cation-exchange chromatography as described previously [19]. GrM fractions were dialyzed against 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), and 150 mM NaCl and stored at -80°C. GrM activity was determined using the synthetic chromogenic substrates Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Leu-p-nitroanilide (Bachem) and Suc-Lys-Val-Pro-Leu-p-nitroanilide (GL Biochem). To directly compare hGrM and mGrM substrate specificity, both enzymes were titrated using the synthetic chromogenic substrate Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Leu-p-nitroanilide. hGrM was twice as efficient as its mouse counterpart in cleaving this substrate. The catalytically inactive GrM-SA mutants of both hGrM and mGrM did not show any activity (data not shown). Human and mouse granzyme concentrations in all experiments were matched based on Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Leu-pNA hydrolysis. Human α-tubulin, mouse α-tubulin, human NPM and mouse NPM cDNA’s were amplified from IMAGE clones 3871729, 6306481, 5575414 and 30438901, respectively, and cloned into the bacterial expression vector pQE80L. N-terminally His-tagged α-tubulin and His-tagged NPM were expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 as recommended by the manufacturer (Roche). The NPM P1’, P2’, and P1’/P2’ mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene). Recombinant α-tubulin and NPM proteins were purified by metal-chelate chromatography (Cheltech), dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline, and stored at -80°C.

**Granzyme killing assays** - HeLa and C2C12 cells were grown to confluence in a 96-well tissue-culture plate. Cells were washed twice in serum-free DMEM, after which they were incubated at 37°C with a sublytic dose of SLO (Jurkat: 0.25 µg/ml SLO; HeLa, PC3: 0.5 µg/ml SLO; LR7, C26, C2C12: 1 µg/ml SLO) and indicated concentrations of granzyme in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl for 30 min. The cells were washed twice with supplemented DMEM, after which the cells were incubated for another 20 h at 37°C. Cell viability was assessed using flow cytometry. Cells were stained with annexinV-fluos (Invitrogen) and PI for 15 min in a buffer containing 140 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 0.75 mM MgCl2, 1.5 mM CaCl2, and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) and data was analyzed using CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences). Cells that
were negative for both AnnexinV and PI were regarded as living cells. The percentage of viable cells after treatment with SLO only was set at 100% and the percentages of viable cells in other conditions were calculated accordingly.

**Single substrate kinetics** - Granzyme activity was monitored by the synthetic chromogenic substrates Suc-Ala-Ala-Pro-Leu-p-nitroanilide (Bachem) and Suc-Lys-Val-Pro-Leu-p-nitroanilide (GL Biochem Ltd) in 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 200 mM NaCl at 37°C. GrM concentration was 100 nM and substrate concentration ranged from 0.5-3 mM. Hydrolysis of p-nitroanilide substrates was monitored spectrophotometrically at 405 nm on an Anthos Zenyth 340 rt microtiter plate reader (Anthos). Kinetic parameters (k_cat/K_M) were determined using standard Michaelis-Menten kinetic equations.

**Positional scanning synthetic combinatorial libraries** - The preparation and characterization of the PI-diverse and PI-Met libraries of 7-amino-4-carbamoylmethylcoumarin (ACC) substrates used in this study are described elsewhere [26, 27]. 10^{10} mol of each well of the PI-diverse stock library was added to 20 wells of a 96-well microfluor plate. Final concentration of each substrate in the assay was 250 μM. Assays were initiated by addition of 5.9 μM GrM and were conducted at 25°C in buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4) and 150 mM NaCl for 2 h. Hydrolysis of substrates was monitored fluorometrically with an excitation wavelength of 380 nm and an emission wavelength of 460 nm on a Spectramax Gemini microtiter plate reader (Molecular Devices).

**Fluorescent two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE)** - For 2D-DIGE, 100 μg of HeLa or C6C12 cell-free protein extract was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with 1 μM of hGrM or mGrM or their catalytically inactive mutants. Samples were precipitated, solubilized, labeled, rehydrated and isoelectrically focused as we described previously [28]. The strips were reduced and overlaid on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel. The images were acquired on a Typhoon 9410 scanner (GE Healthcare). Each condition was performed at least five times, and a dye swap was included to exclude preferentially labeled proteins from the analysis. The relative quantification of matched gel features was performed using DeCyder DIA and BVA software (GE Healthcare). For inter-gel analyses, the internal standard method was used as described previously [29]. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t-test. p < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

**N- and C-terminal COFRADIC**: isolation of terminal peptides - For N- and C-terminal COFRADIC, 1250 μg of a K-562 cell-free protein extract was either left untreated (^{15}C,^{15}N L-arginine labeled sample), treated with 200 nM recombinant hGrM (^{15}C, L-arginine labeled sample) or recombinant mGrM (^{15}C, L-arginine labeled sample) for 1 h at 37°C. Following protease incubation, guanidinium hydrochloride was added to the cell lysates to a final concentration of 4 M in order to denature and inactivate the proteases. The protein samples were reduced and S-alkylated, followed by tri-deuteroacetylation of primary amines and trypsin digestion as described previously [30, 31]. N- and C- terminal COFRADIC analyses were performed as described in [32]. In this setup, ^{15}C4-butyrilation was used for the hGrM-treated sample, ^{15}C3-butyrilation for the mGrM-treated sample and ^{15}C2-butyrilation for the control sample.

**LC-MS/MS analysis** - LC-MS/MS analysis was performed using an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system ( Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) in-line connected to a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) and, per LC-MS/MS analysis, 5 μl of sample (1/4th of total sample) was consumed. LC-MS/MS analysis and generation of MS/MS peak lists were performed as described in [33]. These MS/MS peak lists were then searched with Mascot using the Mascot Daemon interface (version 2.2.0, Matrix Science). Searches were performed in the Swiss-Prot database with taxonomy set to human (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database version 2010_10 containing 20,258 human protein sequences). Trideutero-acetylation at lysines, carbamidomethylation of cysteine, and methionine oxidation to methionine-sulfoxide were set as fixed modifications. Variable modifications were trideutero-acetylation and acetylation of protein.
N-termini. Semi-ArgC was set as the used protease (no missed cleavages were allowed) and the mass tolerance on the precursor ion was set to 10 ppm and on fragment ions to 0.5 Da. In addition, Mascot’s C13 setting was set to 1. Only MS/MS spectra that exceeded the corresponding Mascot threshold score of identity (at 95% confidence level) were withheld. The estimated false discovery rate by searching decoy databases was typically found to lie between 2 and 4% on the spectrum level [30]. All quantifications [SILAC (12C6 L-arginine versus 13C6 L-arginine, 12C6 L-arginine versus 13C6 15N4 L-arginine and 13C6 15N4 L-arginine) and butyrylation (13C4-butyrylated versus 13C4-butyrylated, 12C4-butyrylated versus 13C4-butyrylated and 13C4-butyrylated versus 13C4-butyrylated)] were carried out using the Mascot Distiller Quantitation Tool (version 2.2.1). The quantification method details were as follows: constrain search: yes; protein ratio type: average; report detail: yes; minimum peptides: 1; protocol: precursor; allow mass time match: yes; allow elution shift: no; all charge states: yes; fixed modifications: mass values. Ratios for the proteins were calculated by comparing the XIC peak areas of all matched light versus medium, light versus heavy and medium versus heavy peptides. The calculated ratios that were reported as FALSE were all verified by visual inspection of all highest scoring MS spectra.
Results

The primary and extended specificities of human and mouse GrM are distinct - hGrM and its mouse ortholog display a considerable sequence homology of 69% (Fig. 1A). Whereas the Ser, His, and Asp residues of the catalytic triad are completely conserved between hGrM and mGrM, several residues that are predicted to play key roles in the substrate recognition of hGrM (34) differ between both granzymes (Fig. 1A). These differences result in an altered substrate binding pocket in mGrM as can be visualized in a homology model of mGrM based on the known crystal structure of hGrM (Fig. 1B) [34]. hGrM prefers to cleave after a Leu residue at the P1 position (nomenclature for amino acid positions in substrates is Pn-P2-P1-P1'-P2'-Pn', with amide bond hydrolysis occurring after P1, and the corresponding enzyme binding sites denoted as Sn-S2-S1'-S2'-Sn') [35]. The optimal P4-P1 tetrapeptide specificity of hGrM has previously been identified as Lys-Val-Pro-Leu [13, 14]. To test whether the tetrapeptide specificity of mGrM is similar to that of hGrM, mGrM was incubated with the chromogenic optimal human tetrapeptide substrate Suc-KVPL-pNA (Fig. 1C). While hGrM efficiently hydrolyzed this substrate ($k_{cat}$/K$_m$ = 6.6 x 10$^4$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), mGrM was approximately 4.5-fold less efficient ($k_{cat}$/K$_m$ = 1.5 x 10$^4$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). This suggests that hGrM and mGrM are indeed structurally distinct proteases with distinct substrate binding pockets. The primary specificity of mGrM was profiled using a positional scanning synthetic combinatorial library (PS-SCL) of tetrapeptide coumarin substrates known as the P1-diverse library [14, 27]. For hGrM, this method has previously demonstrated a strong P1 preference for Leu (100%) over Met (~16%) and non-physiological Nle (~46%) and no tolerance for other residues at this position [13, 14]. In contrast to hGrM, mGrM displayed a preference for Nle (100%) and Met (~95%) over Leu (~68%) (Fig. 1D). The residues Ala, Glh, His, Lys, Phe, Ser, Trp and Tyr were also tolerated at the P1 position, although to a lesser extent. The P4-P2 extended substrate specificity of mGrM was determined using a P1-fixed Met PS-SCL library (Fig. 1D). Strikingly, whereas hGrM shows an almost absolute requirement for Pro at the P2 with some tolerance for Ala [13], mGrM clearly preferred Ala over Pro and displayed a broad tolerance for other amino acids at P2. While the preferred P3 residues of mGrM differed slightly from those of hGrM, both granzymes seemed to favor Glu or Val at P3. At the P4 position, mGrM was highly tolerant to a large number of amino acids, while hGrM shows a distinct preference for either a Lys, Nle or a His at the P4 [13]. Together, these data indicate that hGrM and mGrM differ in their primary and P4-P2 substrate specificity.

Human and mouse GrM display restricted macromolecular substrate specificities that overlap only partially - To compare the macromolecular substrate specificities of hGrM and mGrM, two different proteomics-based strategies were applied. First, a fluorescence two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) proteomic approach was employed that scans the native proteome of tumor cells for macromolecular substrates of both granzymes and directly determines the efficiency of substrate cleavage (Fig. 2). Cell lysates of human cervix carcinoma (HeLa) and mouse myoblast (C$_2$C$_1$2) cells were incubated with hGrM, hGrM-SA, mGrM or mGrM-SA and were subsequently labeled with either a red fluorescent dye (Cy5) or a green fluorescent dye (Cy3). Two representative 2D-DIGE gels of cell lysates after hGrM (Fig. 2A) or mGrM (Fig. 2B) treatment are shown. GrM-treated lysates in these gels were labeled green, while the lysates that had been treated with GrM-SA were labeled red. Spots present in greater abundance in the control sample appear red and indicate possible intact GrM substrates, whereas spots present in greater abundance in the granzyme-treated sample appear green and reflect the appearance of specific cleavage products (the unaffected proteome appears yellow). Approximately 3,000 protein spots were resolved from the human HeLa cell lysate, of which 44 spots clearly appeared (cleavage products) and 40 (~1.3%) decreased in intensity with different efficiencies (intact substrates) after hGrM treatment (Fig. 2A) (log peak volume change >2-fold, p < 0.05). For mouse C$_2$C$_1$2 cell lysates, about 2,300 proteins spots were resolved. After mGrM treatment, 39 protein spots clearly appeared (cleavage products) and 12 (~0.5%) decreased in intensity with different efficiencies (intact substrates) (Fig. 2B) (log peak volume change >2-fold,
p < 0.05). This relatively low number of recognized substrates for hGrM and mGrM suggests that the macromolecular substrate specificities of both granzymes are highly restricted. Interestingly, 17 out of the 40 macromolecular substrates that could be detected were cleaved and shared by both granzymes in HeLa lysates (log peak volume change >2-fold, p < 0.05), indicating a ~42% overlap in macromolecular substrate specificity (Fig. 2C). For the cleavage fragments, 36 out of 51 spots with at least a 2-fold log peak volume change were shared, strongly suggesting that most of the detected cleavage events occurred at the same P1 cleavage sites, while for the selection criteria applied, other events were considered unique for mGrM or hGrM. In the C57Bl/6 lymph node, 11 out of 32 proteins were cleaved by both granzymes, indicative of a ~34% overlap in macromolecular substrate specificity, while 19 out of 61 cleavage fragments overlapped (~31%) (Fig. 2D).

To further probe the macromolecular differences in substrate selection among the human and mouse granzyme M orthologs, we made use of the COFRADIC-based complementary positional proteomics approach to study GrM-specific proteolysis in human K-562 cell lysates. This approach allows us to identify and to compare the (consensus) cleavage sites of hGrM and mGrM, but does not directly determine efficiencies of cleavage events. In analogy with previous GrB setups analyzed [32, 36], a SILAC [37] 13C6-L-Arg and 15N-L-Arg labeled cell lysate served as a hGrM and mGrM substrate pool respectively, while a 13C6,15N-L-Arg labeled proteome served as control. This allowed quantification of (neo-)N-terminal peptides since all samples were subject to tryptic digestion and therefore end on arginine. We further differentially tagged protein C-terminal peptides using NHS-esters of 12C4 (hGrM), 15C4 (control) or 13C4 butyric acid (mGrM). Following LC-MS/MS analysis, we here identified 720 unique hGrM and/or mGrM specific cleavage sites in 488 protein substrates based on their corresponding 577 neo-N and/or 155 neo-C-terminal peptide(s) (Supplemental Table 1). Of these, 411 hGrM and/or mGrM specific cleavage sites were generated by processing at Leu (52%), 75 by cleavage after Met (10%) and the remaining 234 cleavage sites (33%) were, consistent with the positional scanning results, raised upon processing at alternative P1 specificities, including Ala, Cys and Gln. Besides monitoring of (neo-)termini generated by GrM, the differential labeling strategies applied allow for a direct comparison of differences in substrate specificity profiles for both granzymes. As such, single 13C6-L-Arg neo-N- or 13C6-butyrylated neo-C-termini, and 15C6-L-Arg neo-N- or 13C6-butyrylated neo-C-termini indicate respectively unique hGrM and mGrM substrates, while couples spaced by six or four mass units respectively indicate neo-N- or neo-C-termini raised by both orthologs (Figure 3). The ratio of ion signal intensities of such couples is further indicative of the difference in substrate cleavage efficiency between hGrM and mGrM. Of all sites identified, 481 sites (67%) in 359 substrates were found to be cleaved by both proteases, while 230 (196 proteins) and 9 (9 proteins) were uniquely introduced by the action of hGrM and mGrM respectively (Supplemental Table 1). As deduced from the neo-termini specific hGrM/mGrM ratios, on average, hGrM seemed to be 4 to 5 fold more efficient in cleaving its substrates as compared to mGrM.

The COFRADIC-based complementary positional proteomics approach allows us to directly compare consensus cleavage sites of hGrM and mGrM. The general amino acid conservation in the set of GrM substrates with a Leu or Met at P1 that were more efficiently cleaved by hGrM than by mGrM is shown in Fig. 4A. The general amino acid conservation in the set of more efficiently cleaved mGrM substrates with P1 Leu or Met is shown in Fig. 4B. Overall, the identified P1/P4 specificity of mGrM is consistent with our PS-SCL data (Fig. 1D). To further distinguish between differentially accommodated amino acids from P4 to P4* in their respective substrates of hGrM and mGrM, a differential iceLogo [38] was created using the human and mouse Leu and Met P1-specific data subsets for which the hGrM or mGrM cleavage efficiency (as deduced from the proteomics data) was at least 50% more efficient as compared to the mean hGrM versus mGrM cleavage ratio observed (Fig. 4C). Statistically significant residues (p ≤ 0.01) are plotted with the size of the amino acid proportional to the difference observed in cleavage.
efficiency between hGrM and mGrM on their respective substrates (Fig. 4C). In line with the PS-SCL data, a P1 Met residue seems to be better accommodated by mGrM as compared to hGrM. Other differentially accommodated residues include Gly or Glu at P2, a P2 Gly being generally inhibitory for hGrM-specific cleavage (the increased prevalence of Gly at P2 is also apparent when comparing the P2 hGrM and mGrM PS-SCL preferences), and a Glu being inhibitory for mGrM-specific cleavage (see also representative examples Fig. 3A-F). In general, the presence of a positively charged P4 Lys or His is more stimulatory for hGrM-specific cleavage as compared to mGrM-specific cleavage (Arg at P4). Beyond the P4-P1 motif, the amino acid occupancy of P2 is also clearly discriminative with Leu, Phe, Tyr, and Asp being better accommodated by the mGrM S2’ pocket, while Ala, Asn, Lys, Ser, Gly, and Val represent better accommodated hGrM P2’ residues in addition, Suppl. Fig. 1 plots the different amino acid occurrences in percentages at the most discriminative P and P’-positions in more efficient hGrM versus more efficient mGrM cleavage site motifs as bar charts.

Collectively, these data indicate that hGrM and mGrM display narrow macromolecular substrate specificities that overlap only partially. Interestingly, the hGrM and mGrM substrates that were identified by this complementary positional proteomics approach include the already known hGrM substrates α-tubulin [19] and NPM [20] (Supplemental Table 1).

**Human and mouse GrM cleave human and mouse α-tubulin with similar efficiency** - We have previously demonstrated that hGrM cleaves the microtubule component α-tubulin, leading to a disorganization of the microtubule network that may contribute to cell death [19]. The proteolysis of α-tubulin was further biochemically analyzed in human (HeLa) and mouse (C57L) cell lysates. Mouse α-tubulin was cleaved by mGrM in a time- and concentration-dependent manner similar to the cleavage of human α-tubulin by hGrM (Fig. 5A). Semi-quantitative analysis of the protein bands indeed showed similar kinetics of α-tubulin cleavage by both hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 5B). mGrM also cleaved human α-tubulin and vice versa with similar efficiency (Fig. 5C). To exclude the possibility that GrM-induced cleavage of α-tubulin is indirect and to visualize GrM-induced cleavage products, these experiments were repeated using purified recombinant human and mouse His-tagged α-tubulin. Consistent with Fig. 5A-C, both human and mouse His-tagged α-tubulin were cleaved by both hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 5D). Despite the fact that α-tubulin is extremely well conserved between human and mouse (99.6% sequence homology) (Fig. 5E), the cleavage fragments that appeared after GrM treatment of purified His-tagged α-tubulin differed between hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 5D). These data indicate that although both hGrM and mGrM cleave α-tubulin with similar efficiency, distinct cleavage sites are preferred (See also Suppl. Table 1).

**Species-specific substrate proteolysis: human and mouse GrM cleave human but not mouse nucleophosmin** - The nuclear phosphoprotein NPM is a multifunctional tumor-suppressor protein that has been directly implicated in cancer pathogenesis [39] and apoptosis [20]. Cleavage of NPM by GrM has been proposed to contribute to the mechanism by which GrM triggers tumor cell death [20]. The proteolysis of NPM by hGrM and mGrM was tested in HeLa and C57L cell lysates (Fig. 6A). As expected [20], cleavage of human NPM by hGrM was highly efficient and time- and concentration-dependent, with proteolysis almost going to completion at 15 minutes after the addition of 400 nM hGrM, or at 1 hour after the addition of 50 nM hGrM (Fig. 6B). Cleavage of mNPM, however, was far less efficient, with virtually no reduction of the full-length protein band after 2 hours incubation with 400 nM mGrM. Kinetic analysis revealed that mGrM was 40-fold less efficient in cleaving its species-matched NPM as compared with hGrM (Fig. 6B). To determine whether this marked variation in NPM cleavage was due to differences between hGrM and mGrM or due to differences between human and mouse NPM, NPM from both human and mouse cell lysates was exposed to both granzymes (Fig. 6C). Interestingly, while human NPM was hydrolyzed with similar efficiency by both hGrM and mGrM, mouse NPM was a poor substrate of both mGrM and hGrM. Similar results were obtained when the granzymes were incubated with purified recombinant human and mouse His-tagged NPM (Fig. 6D),
suggesting that differences in NPM between species rather than differences in GrM explain these findings. Human and mouse NPM share a sequence similarity of 93.9% and the known hGrM cleavage site [20] (at least P9-P1) in NPM is conserved between human and mouse. Cleavage of NPM at this site was also detected in a human K-562 lysate using complementary positional proteomics (observed hGrM/mGrM ratio of 1.6, see Supplemental Table 1A-B). Notably, there are two Ala residues at the P1’ and P2’ positions of human NPM that are not present in mouse NPM. Instead, mouse NPM harbors the non-preferred (Fig. 4A) negatively charged Asp169 and Glu160 residues at these positions (Fig. 6E), respectively. To determine whether this difference is responsible for the impaired cleavage of mouse NPM by both mGrM and hGrM, the Asp169 and Glu160 residues of mouse NPM were ‘humanized’ using site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 6F). The efficiency of both hGrM and mGrM-mediated cleavage of mouse NPM slightly increased when the P1 residue of mouse NPM was mutated into the corresponding residue of human NPM, and the efficiency was completely restored when only the P2 residue was replaced with an Ala or when both residues were replaced simultaneously (Fig. 6G). These data show that mouse NPM cannot be cleaved by both hGrM and mGrM because of species-specific differences in the prime site residues P1’ and predominantly P2’. Consistent with our positional proteomics analysis, this further stresses that prime sites in macromolecular substrates are important structural determinants for GrM substrate specificity.

GrM-induced cell death is species-dependent - The functional and structural species-dependent differences here identified between hGrM and mGrM prompted us to investigate the tumor cell death potential of both granymes. Human (HeLa) and mouse (C3H10T1/2) cells were incubated with purified GrM in the presence or absence of the pore-forming protein streptolysin O (SLO), a perforin-analogue. The cells were subsequently stained with apoptosis markers annexin V and propidium iodide (PI), and cell viability was assessed by means of flow cytometry. Both hGrM and mGrM efficiently induced cell death in human HeLa cells, with mGrM being slightly more potent than hGrM (Fig. 7A). Additionally, hGrM and mGrM displayed clear cytotoxic potential towards the human prostate cancer PC3 and leukaemic Jurkat cell lines (Supplemental Fig. 2). Under the same conditions, however, neither hGrM nor mGrM was able to induce apoptosis in mouse C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 7B). GrM from both species also failed to trigger apoptosis in several other mouse cell lines, including the colon carcinoma C26 cell line and the fibroblast LR7 cell line (Supplemental Fig. 2). The catalytically inactive GrM-SA mutants and SLO alone displayed no cytotoxicity in these experiments, while human GrB efficiently induced apoptosis in all above mentioned cell lines (Fig. 7 and Supplemental Fig. 2). These data indicate that, at least in the cell lines tested, both mGrM and hGrM have cytotoxic potential in human cells, but not in mouse cells.
Discussion

Granzymes are key players in the effector arm of the immune response against tumor and virus-infected cells [1, 4]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that human and mouse GrB are structurally and functionally different in that GrB from both species cleaves pro-caspase-3, while only human GrB is able to cleave BID [36, 40-42]. In the present study, we have employed three distinct (proteomic) approaches to show that hGrM and mGrM also exhibit divergent and species-specific substrate specificities. mGrM and hGrM displayed distinct, but to some extent overlapping, tetrapeptide and macromolecular substrate specificities that were partially species-specific (Fig. 1-4). Whereas α-tubulin was a shared GrM substrate between species (Fig. 5), only human but not mouse NPM was cleaved by both granzymes (Fig. 6). Both hGrM and mGrM efficiently triggered apoptosis in human HeLa cells, but not in several mouse (tumor) cell lines (Fig. 7). These data show that hGrM and mGrM are structurally and functionally divergent and cannot be used interchangeably.

Using a PS-SCL and a complementary positional proteomics approach, we showed that the P1 and extended specificities of hGrM and mGrM differ substantially. The PS-SCL results showed that, whereas hGrM prefers a Leu at P1 [13, 14], mGrM favored a Met (or Nle) > Leu at this position (Fig. 1D). The results of the PS-SCL were confirmed by positional proteomics, which also revealed that Leu and Met are major P1 determinants for hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 4). Upon differential comparison between both granzymes (Fig. 4C), mGrM was better able to accommodate a Met at P1, while hGrM clearly preferred a Leu. These data are in agreement with the facts that Leu and Met are large hydrophobic residues and that the S1 pocket of hGrM – which can only accommodate long, narrow hydrophobic amino acids [34, 43] – is conserved in mGrM (Fig. 1). The substrate specificity of hGrM and mGrM diverges further at the P2 position. PS-SCL and positional proteomics showed preferences for Ala and Pro at P2 for hGrM [13, 14] and mGrM (Fig. 1D and 4A-B). Major differences between hGrM and mGrM at the P2 position include a better tolerance to Glu, Thr, and Val by hGrM, and to Gly by mGrM (Fig. 4C). Both hGrM and mGrM displayed a clear preference for Glu at the P3 position, which was demonstrated by both PS-SCL (Fig. 1D) and positional proteomics (Fig. 4). The PS-SCL results showed that mGrM had broad preference at the P4 position (Fig. 1D), whereas in natural substrates mGrM favored a Lys or Arg at this position, the latter being discriminative for mGrM as compared to hGrM (Fig. 4). In PS-SCL, hGrM shows a clear preference for the positively charged Lys and His residues at P4 [13], which can also be deduced from positional proteomics (Fig. 4).

Aside from the non-prime site residue preferences, we show for the first time that prime site residues are also important structural determinants for GrM-substrate recognition. In our positional proteomics approach, the amino acid occupancy of the P2' position was found to be clearly discriminative between hGrM and mGrM, with hGrM accommodating Ala, Asn, Lys, Ser, Gly and Val, and mGrM accommodating Leu, Phe and Tyr to a better extent at this position (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, replacement of the putative P1’-P2’ residues in mouse nucleophosmin with the corresponding residues of human nucleophosmin fully restored cleavage of mouse nucleophosmin by both hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 6). It seems unlikely that major structural differences around the GrM cleavage site between human and mouse NPM affect GrM proteolysis, since secondary structures and solvent accessibility of the GrM cleavage site regions in human and mouse NPM are predicted to be similar. The importance of prime site residues for GrM cleavage is compatible with the notion that relatively high concentrations of GrM were required for robust activity in PS-SCL (Fig. 1D).

We employed two proteomic approaches to dissect the macromolecular substrate specificities of hGrM and mGrM (Fig. 2-4 and Suppl. Table 1). Some substrates were shared, whereas others were specific for either hGrM or mGrM. Using the 2D-DIGE gel-based approach, only limited sets of hGrM (40, ~1.3% of total spots) and mGrM (12, ~0.5% of total spots) substrates were resolved in their species-matched cell lysates, indicating that both granzymes are highly specific.
In the human HeLa cell lysate, 40 GrM substrates were identified, of which 17 (~42%) were shared by hGrM and mGrM. Using the gel-free complementary positional proteomics approach, we identified a total of 488 GrM substrates in the human K-562 cell lysate, of which 359 substrates (~74%) were shared between hGrM and mGrM. This indicates that the macromolecular substrate specificities of hGrM and mGrM only partially overlap. The discrepancy in the number of identified GrM substrates between the gel-free positional proteomics approach as compared with the gel-based 2D-DIGE approach is most likely due to the selection criteria and to the intrinsic higher sensitivity of gel-free proteomics [44]. Both the 2D-DIGE and the COFRADIC approach showed that while hGrM and mGrM share some cleavage sites in macromolecular substrates, they also make use of unique sites, which is in agreement with the partially overlapping primary and extended substrate specificity data as determined by both the PS-SCL and the positional proteomics approach (Fig. 1D and 4, Suppl. Table 1). Interestingly, both approaches revealed only few unique mGrM substrates.

The ability of GrM to cleave specific macromolecular substrates partially depends on the substrate conservation between human and mouse, suggesting that GrM has species-specific functions (Figures 5 and 6). The multifunctional phosphoprotein NPM is essential for cell viability and plays a role in viral replication [20, 45, 46]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that cleavage of human NPM by hGrM abolishes NPM function, which has been suggested to contribute to GrM-induced cell death [20]. NPM is a species-specific substrate of GrM: murine NPM was cleaved by neither mGrM nor hGrM, whereas human NPM was cleaved by both granzymes (Fig. 6). Interestingly, human and mouse GrB can also efficiently cleave human NPM [47], but fail to cleave mouse NPM [41]. These data indicate that the cleavage and inactivation of NPM does not contribute to GrM and GrB function in mice. In contrast, the microtubule network component α-tubulin of both human and mouse origin was cleaved by GrM of both species (Fig. 5). Although α-tubulin is highly conserved between human and mouse (>99%), it is cleaved by hGrM and mGrM at different sites and/or with different efficiencies at specific sites (Fig. 5D and Suppl. Table 1). Apparently, targeting of α-tubulin by GrM and subsequent disorganization of the microtubule network is conserved between human and mouse and likely contributes to cytotoxic lymphocyte-induced cell death [19].

Whereas hGrM has previously been shown to efficiently induce cell death in multiple human cell lines [17, 19, 20, 22, 34], both hGrM and mGrM did not trigger cell death in several (tumor) cell lines of mouse origin (Fig. 5). Whether or not GrM induces cell death in mice remains unclear and its lack of cytotoxicity in mouse cell lines may be due to several reasons. First, the cell death potential of GrM may depend on the type of target cell line that is used. mGrM efficiently induced cell death in human HeLa cells, indicating the apoptotic potential of this granzyme (Fig. 5). Strikingly, mGrM did not trigger apoptosis in several mouse cell lines (i.e. C5712, C26, and L7) (Fig. 5). In agreement with this, Pao and colleagues have shown that GrM is not essential for NK cell mediated cytotoxicity against tumor targets in mice [24]. However, a more recent mouse study has demonstrated that adoptively transferred NK cells from wild-type mice, but not from GrM-deficient mice, effectively inhibit the growth of a subcutaneous tumor [18]. Second, the ability of GrM to trigger apoptosis in mouse cell lines may be hampered by the presence of specific inhibitors of GrM-mediated apoptosis such as the murine serum protease inhibitor SPI-CI, which has previously been shown to directly inhibit GrM activity [48]. Currently, no natural intracellular inhibitor of hGrM has been described. Finally, apart from α-tubulin and NPM, it remains unknown whether murine orthologs of other known hGrM death substrates such as HSP-75, ICAD, PARP, and survivin [21-23] are cleaved by GrM in mice.

Mouse models are often employed to study the role of granzymes in vivo. GrM knockout mice have demonstrated a role for GrM in the host response against tumors [18] and cytomegalovirus infection [24]. Recently, GrM deficient mice have allowed the identification of GrM as a key regulator of inflammation, possibly by enhancing the inflammatory cascade downstream of LPS-TLR4 signaling [10]. Whether or not these in vivo data can be directly
extrapolated to elucidate the functions of GrM in humans remains uncertain, since the species-specific substrate specificities of GrM signify that caution is essential when interpreting data from mouse studies.
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Footnote

Identified MS/MS spectra are made publicly available in the Proteomics Identifications Database (PRIDE) [49] under the accession code 15475.
Figure and table legends

FIG. 1. The primary and extended specificities of human and mouse GrM are distinct.
(A) Alignment of hGrM and mGrM primary sequence. Residues boxed in grey are shared amino acids between hGrM and mGrM, those boxed in black are residues that form the catalytic triad. The S1–S2–S3–S4 amino acids highlighted in yellow, green, red and blue, respectively. (B) Crystal structure of hGrM [34]. Based on the structure of hGrM, a homology model for mGrM was generated (Swiss-Prot). S1–S4 amino acids are indicated. (C) Michaelis plots of peptide substrate KVPL-pNA hydrolysis by different concentrations of human (h) and mouse (m) GrM. Calculated $k_{cat}/K_m$ values are indicated. Results are representative of two independent triplicate experiments. (D) The primary specificity of mGrM was determined using a P1-ACC diverse tetrapeptide library. The extended specificity of mGrM was determined using a P1 fixed Met-ACC tetrapeptide library. The $y$ axis represents the rate of ACC production as a percentage of the maximum rate observed in each experiment. The $x$ axis shows the positioned P1 amino acids as represented by the one-letter code. Data is representative of three separate experiments.

FIG. 2. Human and mouse GrM display highly restricted macromolecular substrate specificities that only partially overlap. (A) HeLa cell freeze-thaw lysates (100 μg) were incubated with 1 μM hGrM (green) or 1 μM human GrM-SA (hGrM-SA) (red) for 1 h at 37°C. The samples were then subjected to 2D-DIGE. Protein spots shared between the two samples appear yellow. Protein spots with decreased abundance after protease digestion (GrM substrates) are red, while new spots (cleavage products) that appear after protease digestion are green. (B) C6C12 cell freeze/thaw lysates (100 μg) were incubated with 1 μM mGrM (green) or 1 μM mouse GrM-SA (mGrM-SA) (red) for 1 h at 37°C and subjected to 2D-DIGE. These results are representative for five independent experiments. (C) Human GrM/GrM-SA and mouse GrM/GrM-SA gels from HeLa lysate were matched, and human GrM-SA/GrM log peak volume ratios ($y$ axis) were plotted against mouse GrM-SA/GrM log peak volume ratios ($x$ axis). Protein spots are labeled red (intact substrates), green (cleavage fragments), or yellow (not affected proteome). Protein spot intensity was considered to be changed when peak volume ratios were 2-fold (dotted lines) and $p < 0.05$. (D) Human GrM/GrM-SA and mouse GrM/GrM-SA gels from C6C12 lysate were matched, and human GrM-SA/GrM log peak volume ratios ($y$ axis) were plotted against mouse GrM-SA/GrM log peak volume ratios ($x$ axis).

FIG. 3. Illustration of the different categories of isolated terminal peptides found in K-562 lysates. SILAC-labeled K-562 freeze-thaw lysates were treated with hGrM (15C6), mGrM (13C6) or left untreated (13C6). (A) Unaltered database annotated protein-N-termini: these peptides were identified as Ac-TKGPEEHPSVTLFRR (Ac denotes $\alpha$-acetylated amino group) from aminocaylase-1 and the ion intensities of their three different forms are about equal, indicating that this peptide was not affected by GrM. (B) Unique neo-N-terminus (QEAL1AcD3-34ALKKEEEER357AcD3 denotes a trideutero-acetylated $\alpha$-amino group), generated only by hGrM in the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5B. (C) Unique neo-N-terminus (RNGL1AcD3-41LALKKALAAGGYDVEKNNRS85) generated exclusively through the action of mGrM in histone H1.5. (D) Neo-N-termini of the serine/arginine repetitive matrix protein 2 (LAAL1AcD3-30SLTGSGTPPTAANYPPSSS328) generated with similar efficiency by hGrM and mGrM. (E) Unaffected protein C-termini were identified as differentially butyrylated-LAKADGIVSKNF100 peptides of which the ion intensities are about equal (L/M, L/H, and M/H ratios of 1.1, 1.1 and 1.1 respectively). (F) A neo-C terminus But1213C4-316KNLDMVKEM421VQAE (But1213C4 denotes an $\alpha$-amino group modified by 4 carbon-12 or 4 carbon-13 butyric acid) originating from the Nucleolar protein 56 was identified, according to the ion intensities, approx. 2.5 times more efficiently cleaved by hGrM than by mGrM. In a separate peptide fraction, the neo-N-terminus generated after cleavage at KEAM425 was also
identified following GrM treatment with a similar hGrM/mGrM ratio of 2.4 (See also Suppl. Table 1).

FIG. 4. IceLogo representations of the cleavage sites identified by proteome-wide screening for GrM substrates. IceLogo representations were created using the respectively 220 (A) and 72 (B) Leu/Met P1-containing cleavage motifs of identified neo-termini exhibiting hGrM/mGrM cleavage ratios deviating at least 50% of the mean (i.e. preferred/unique hGrM (A) or preferred/unique mGrM cleavages (B)). Multiple sequence alignments [38] of peptide substrate motifs are given as 1, 2, 3 to 8 corresponding to cleavage site residues P4, P3, P2 to P1' with the P1 cleavage site indicated as position 4. Statistically significant residues with a p-value threshold of 0.05 are plotted. The amino acids heights are indicative for their degree of conservation at the indicated position. The frequency of the amino acid occurrence at each position in the sequence set was compared with the human SwissProt 56.0 database. Residues with a statistically significant lower frequency of occurrence as compared to SwissProt are indicated at the negative site of the x axis. Residues with a 0% occurrence are colored in the lightest greyscale. (C) A differential iceLogo representation was created using the P1 Leu (248) and P1 Met (44) containing cleavage motifs of identified neo-termini with corresponding hGrM and/or mGrM cleavage ratios deviating at least 50% of the mean. Statistically significant residues with a p-value threshold of 0.01 around the P1 Leu or P1 Met are plotted with the size of the amino acids proportional to the difference observed in cleavage efficiency between hGrM and mGrM on their respective substrates. On the y axis the height of the symbol is proportional to the difference in occurrence of amino acid usage in more efficient hGrM versus more efficient mGrM cleavage site motifs.

FIG. 5. Human and mouse GrM cleave α-tubulin with similar efficiency. (A) Cell lysates derived from HeLa (human) or C5C12 (mouse) cells were treated with hGrM or mGrM (400 nM) for indicated lengths of time or with different concentrations of hGrM or mGrM (0-400 nM). Catalytically inactive GrM-SA served as a negative control. Reactions were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting, using an antibody against α-tubulin or β-tubulin (which served as a loading control, since no significant cleavage could be observed at the conditions assayed). (B) Signal intensities of protein bands were quantified by densitometry, α-tubulin/β-tubulin ratios were calculated, and plotted against time or GrM concentration. (C) Both HeLa and C5C12 lysates were incubated for 4 h at 37°C with either human (h) or mouse (m) GrM (400 nM). Samples were immunoblotted using an antibody against α-tubulin or β-tubulin (loading control). Results are representative of three independent experiments. (D) Purified recombinant human and mouse His-α-tubulin were incubated for 2 h at 37°C with indicated concentrations of hGrM or mGrM. Reactions were separated on SDS-PAGE and visualized by Simply Blue protein staining. His-α-tubulin and GrM are indicated, arrows indicate cleavage products. Results are representative of three independent experiments. (E) Alignment of human and mouse α-tubulin. Residues boxed in grey are the shared amino acids between human and mouse α-tubulin. Boxed in black is one known hGrM cleavage site [19].

FIG. 6. Human and mouse GrM cleave human but not mouse nucleophosmin. (A) Cell lysates derived from HeLa (human) or C5C12 (mouse) cells were treated with hGrM or mGrM (400 nM) for indicated lengths of time or with different concentrations of hGrM or mGrM (0-400 nM). Reactions were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting, using an antibody against NPM or β-tubulin (which served as a loading control, since no significant cleavage could be observed at the conditions assayed). (B) Signal intensities of protein bands were quantified by densitometry, NPM/β-tubulin ratios were calculated, and plotted against time or GrM concentration. (C) Both HeLa and C5C12 lysates were incubated for 4 h at 37°C with either human (h) or mouse (m) GrM (400 nM). Samples were immunoblotted using an antibody
against NPM or β-tubulin (loading control). Results are representative of three independent experiments.

(D) Recombinant human and mouse NPM were incubated for 2 h at 37°C with the indicated concentrations of hGrM or mGrM. Reactions were separated on SDS-PAGE and visualized by Simply Blue staining. Results are representative of three independent experiments.

(E) Alignment of human and mouse NPM. Residues boxed in grey are the shared amino acids between human and mouse NPM. Boxed in dark grey is the known hGrM cleavage site [20].

(F) Alignment of the GrM cleavage site in human and mouse NPM. The P1-P4 are completely conserved between mouse and human, but the P1’ and P2’ residues differ. Different mutants of mouse NPM generated are depicted.

(G) Purified recombinant wild type (wt) human NPM (hNPM), wt mouse NPM (mNPM) and different NPM mutants were incubated for 2 h at 37°C with the indicated concentrations of human (h) or mouse (m) GrM. Products were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Simply Blue staining. Results are representative of four independent experiments.

**FIG. 7. Human and mouse GrM trigger cell death in human HeLa but not mouse C2C12 tumor cells.** Human HeLa cells (A) or mouse C2C12 cells (B) were treated with sublytic concentrations of SLO and various concentrations of hGrM, mGrM or their catalytically inactive mutants (GrM-SA), for 30 min. Cells were treated with hGrB as a positive control. After washing, cells were incubated for another 20 h at 37°C. Cell viability was determined using flow cytometry, with Annexin V and PI negative cells considered viable.
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